Dr. Jerry Scheidbach

Brain Massage®: Show No. 1054

THE EPSTEIN "HOAX"? / FLAG BURNING—AN ACT OF WAR? / WHY DEMOCRATS HATE INALIENABLE RIGHTS FROM GOD! / THE VACCINE DIDN'T WORK FOR SENIORS, LET'S USE IT ON THE KIDS — 2006!

Original Air Date: 09.06.25

Segment.01 Hello. I'm Dr. Jerry Scheidbach, pastor of the Lighthouse Baptist Church in Santa Maria, CA, and your brain masseur. Get ready for your brain massage.

The Epstein Hoax is no joke![1] Cutting through this Gordian knot! Epstein victims vow to create their own "client list."[2]

Flag burning—free speech or act of war?[3]

Whose screaming at RFK? Senators with campaign bags bulging with Big Pharma bucks.[4]

It's time for. your Brain Massage®:

]TRUTH]

---

[1] https://www.bbc.co.uk/programmes/w3cszbxk HARDtalk, Zeinab Badawi interview. July 24, 2020. My take: Zeinab is defensive for Ghislaine Maxwell. Challenges Gloria whether her effort to call out Prince Andrew was a publicity stunt.

[2] https://x.com/ShadowofEzra/status/1963305300062020007 This is in the subtitle of the video: "Epstein survivors vow to compile own client list." Below the banner.

[3] 1054.27.1-Trump Signs EO Banning Flag Burning https://chatgpt.com/s/t_68bb27def18c8191b9a96dde21a6f259

[4] 1054.17.2-Senators SCREAMING at RFK today are all listed as have received money from Big Pharma. People being BRIBED by Big Pharma shouldn't be making ANY healthcare decisions for America. They're obviously compromised. ht.pdf https://x.com/MilaLovesJoe/status/1963644046490178030 I used ChatGPT to verify and while the search parameters do not align with those used by whoever made the chart, the numbers corresponded closely enough to satisfy me the chart is representative of the actual numbers. See https://chatgpt.com/s/t_68bb56264bc88191bce4a8a2dfb3ff14 and https://chatgpt.com/s/t_68bb56023b40819180d627e52c74ee47

Copyright ©Jerry W. Scheidbach — all rights reserved.

The Epstein Hoax is no joke![5] Cutting through this Gordian knot! Epstein victims vow to create their own "client list."[6]

Allred is representing 20 women abused by Epstein.[7] Some of Allred's clients name Prince Andrew. His family has openly shamed Andrew, it's already publicly vetted; he might be offered up as a scapegoat to satisfy what the elitists consider red meat for the boors.

Brad Edwards has represented 70 Epstein victims dating back to 2009. He reported that Trump was helpful in his investigations and had no reason to suspect he was involved in the trafficking of minors. QUOTE: "The only thing that I can say about President Trump is that he is the only person who in 2009 when I served a lot of subpoenas on a lot of people, at least gave notice to some pretty connected people that I was going, that I wanted to talk to them, he is the only person who picked up the phone and said, 'Let's just talk, I'll give you as much time as you want, I'll tell you what you need to know,' and was very helpful in the information that he gave, and gave no indication whatsoever that he was involved in anything untoward whatsoever, but had good information that checked out that helped us, and we didn't have to take a deposition of him."[8]

Brad is calling on Trump to return to his former disposition on the Epstein files and expresses confusion over why Trump changed his

---

[5] https://www.bbc.co.uk/programmes/w3cszbxk HARDtalk, Zeinab Badawi interview. July 24, 2020. My take: Zeinab is defensive for Ghislaine Maxwell. Challenges Gloria whether her effort to call out Prince Andrew was a publicity stunt.

[6] https://x.com/ShadowofEzra/status/1963305300062020007 This is in the subtitle of the video: "Epstein survivors vow to compile own client list." Below the banner.

[7] 1054.35.1-ALLRED REPRESENTING 20 LEPSTEIN VICTIMS TARGETING PRINCE ANDREWS https://www.bbc.com/audio/play/w3cszbxk

[8] https://x.com/EndWokeness/status/1742163993651741136?ref_src=twsrc%5Etfw%7Ctwcamp%5Etweetembed%7Ctwterm%5E1742163993651741136%7Ctwgr%5E682fd69952b321806f62c5b9841f11d5b6e8b48d%7Ctwcon%5Es1_&ref_url=https%3A%2F%2Fwww.newsweek.com%2Fjeffrey-epstein-victims-attorney-talks-about-donald-trump-claims-1857298 See 1054.35.5-Attorney Bradley Edwards.

© Jerry W. Scheidbach (All rights reserved.)

position regarding the full and complete release of the Epstein files.[9]

Bondi announces Joint Task Force Alpha, describes it as the "tip of the spear" of justice coming after traffickers.

Joint Task Force Alpha recently took major enforcement actions: 12 defendants were charged with operating a massive illegal smuggling ring—they were bringing illegal aliens from Cuba into the US, for profit. They coached their "clients," including children, on how to get past border agents. They charged up to 40k for each trafficked child and adult — they used Zell to transfer over 7 mil. on this particular scheme and possessed over 18 million dollars.

In Vermont, Norma Lorenzo was indicted for working with Canadian co-conspirators from Guatemala, Honduras, Mexico, and El Salvador—children were transferred to private residences in Vermont — police began encountering illegal aliens from 97 different countries, including China, Pakistan, Iraq, Iran, Afghanistan, North Korea, and Yemen— all enemy countries!

In Texas, Joint Task Force Alpha secured the extradition of three men from Guatemala, charged with what Bondi called a "mass casualty alien smuggling event." A Semi Truck hauling at least 160 illegals crashed, killing more than fifty.

Again, this week, in Texas, four were charged for smuggling unaccompanied children into the US from Mexico; these "monsters" drugged unaccompanied minors into a THC-induced sleep when passing through customs. That's what you do when shushing does not work! One child was overdosed and had to be hospitalized.

[9] 1054.35.3-Epstein victim's lawyer claims President Trump secretly helped case against Epstein https://x.com/ShadowofEzra/status/1963305300062020007

© Jerry W. Scheidbach (All rights reserved.)

A lot of this is coming across our Canadian border — one family was being smuggled through Canada in subzero weather, and the entire family died, frozen to death.

A trafficker working to bring illegals in from Mexico was captured. This guy received 30,000 for every ten illegals he successfully got to the Rio Grande, and an additional 30,000 for every ten he got across alive.

Here is a devil for you, here to steal, kill, and destroy — a child of Satan, a fiend of hell — his name is Gokhan Bagci, he is here illegally from Turkey. Gavin Newsom has welcomed him, shielded him, protected him in the "Sanctuary State" of Newsom's California: I know you CA liberals are so proud of him.

This guest of Newsom, this protected beloved criminal, was arrested for raping multiple children at a daycare in Sacramento. I didn't check, but I wonder if Newsom could see the daycare from his office window, or if he drove by it while tooling around Sacramento, perhaps on his way to French Laundry.

The "self-righteous" Christian who supports this sanctuary for criminals nonsense needs to read their Bible. Scripture requires "strangers" (the name for "migrants") to obey the laws and honor the customs of the people. Otherwise, thy were viewed as enemy combatants.

That takes me to the next discussion. Flag burning!

Flag burning—free speech or act of war?[10] Is it merely an expression of protest against the actions of our government, or has it become a declaration of war against America? Is it a symbolic statement of opposition to policy, or has it become a call for the destruction of our country, our nation?

[10] 1054.27.1-Trump Signs EO Banning Flag Burning https://chatgpt.com/s/t_68bb27def18c8191b9a96dde21a6f259

© Jerry W. Scheidbach (All rights reserved.)

I grew up in the 60s. We saw a lot of that during our involvement in Nam. I had mixed feelings about it—flag burning, I mean. I wrote a few war protest songs, but they weren't America-protest songs. I thought the whole America thing was very interesting and made sense—during Civics in Jr. High, I was impressed by our system and felt strongly that it was obviously organized around sound principles — the consent of the governed, truth, justice, the American way — for me, I could see the obvious effort to build a respect for an protection for justice into the system. I liked it! Martin Luther King's *I Have A Dream* speech, 1963, seemed to reflect the noblest aspirations of our government as founded!

So all the talk about America being unjust didn't make sense, but that we had some bad people within the system corrupting it — that I saw clearly. Anyway, I felt like the system we inherited was good, but that some people didn't get it! And those people were bad.

My point is, I never turned against the government as founded!

So when someone burned a flag in the streets during a protest, it seemed like a dumb thing to do. The FLAG represented the antithesis of what they were protesting—I didn't think it made sense to attack the symbol of the freedoms they used to express their objections. Nevertheless, I did accept the argument that free speech included speech against the government, that in fact, a redress of grievances was specifically included in the first amendment, and while I always thought it was hypocritical to attack the flag in the name of free speech, I was unwilling to say it should be against the law to express political speech in that way, even though, at the time, I was naive enough to think these people were on the side of liberty for all even while burning the only flag that symbolized that ideal.

Here is a brief history of flag burning. The first instance was on May 10, 1861, in Mississippi, during the Civil War. This was about one

© Jerry W. Scheidbach (All rights reserved.)

month after Mississippi joined the Southern Rebellion and seceded from the Union. It was a clear statement of separation from America as founded—a repudiation of the federal government under Lincoln. It was an act of War against the United States.

We saw no more of that until 1919, in the aftermath of WWI. There was considerable worry about the incursion of radical leftism into America, communism had raised its ugly visage in Soviet Russia, and agitators for communism, Marxism, began stirring trouble among workers in the US, creating what is called the first "Red Scare."[11]

The shift from flag burning being directly connected to calls for the elimination of America, the destruction of America, you know, a symbolic call, a rallying call to destroy this country, to being viewed as merely an act of protest exercising the fundamental right of "free speech" began in 1931, when California had a law forbidding flying the red, or Communist flag. A California teacher at a summer camp in San Bernadino, CA that was run by a communist affiliated organization, led the campers to raise the Red Communist Flag and recite the "worker's pledge": "I pledge allegiance to the workers' red flag, and the cause for which it stands, one aim throughout our lives, freedom for the working class."[12] California then was more American than Communist, more Red, White, and Blue — and had a law prohibiting the display of the Red Flag as a symbol of opposition to organized government, an invitation to anarchic action, or as an aid to seditious propaganda. [IBID.]

The Supreme Court of 1931 voted 7-2 under Chief Justice Charles Evans Hughes, saying that prohibiting the raising of this COMMUNIST FLAG on American Soil violates free speech protections.

---

[11] https://chatgpt.com/s/t_68bb7fcaf45481919365ffe512b8e6b3

[12] https://chatgpt.com/s/t_68bb828551dc8191b4739d545a95eb5e

© Jerry W. Scheidbach (All rights reserved.)

In 1932, most States had flag-desecration statutes, but in 1967, a large anti-Viet Nam war demonstration included flag burning, and in 1968 the Flag Protection Act was passed, making it a crime to mutilate, deface, burn, or trample upon the American Flag.

Now, here is the kicker! In 1969, some American war hero, named Sidney Street, burned the Flag that covered his father-in-law's casket, and he burned it in protest against the killing of the civil rights leader, James Meredith. He was outraged by this, and took his flag and burned it in Brooklyn, NY—he was arrested and charged. His conviction was thrown out by the Supreme Court but the Court held back from ruling against New York's law against desecration of the flag. The Court threw out his conviction because, it said, "the record did not clarify whether Street was punished for his words or his act of burning the flag." His words were protected free speech, and since the conviction did not distinguish between his words and the action of burning the flag, the court overruled his conviction.[13]

So, that's the history! Are you surprised? Many of you probably are surprised to learn that the historical background of flag burning is directly connected with insurrectionists, communists, anarchists, and people who hate America. It has never been merely an expression of political opposition to some policy. Its first instance was an expression of a call to war against the U.S.. In the second instance, it was connected with the communist flag being raised up against the US flag. The Anti-War demonstrations that included flag burning were likely instigated by Communists, but carried out by many who thought they were protesting the War, not understanding that, as ill-advised as was that war, it was a war against Communist aggression, and burning the American flag took the wrong side of that issue while attempting to make another

[13] 1054.0.3-This Week in 1969_ Street v. New York – Liberty & Law Center.pdf https://libertycenter.gmu.edu/2020/04/24/this-week-in-1969-street-v-new-york/

© Jerry W. Scheidbach (All rights reserved.)

point. I've come to believe the flag burning thing has always been driven by the spirit of hatred for America and in service to those who hate American freedom.

Funny how many are up in arms about Trump signing his Executive Order against flag burning as if it's against the Law when in fact, the LAW of the land prohibiting the desecration of our Flag remains the LAW OF THE LAND.

Segment.02 Hello. Welcome back! I'm Dr. Jerry Scheidbach, pastor at the Lighthouse, your brain masseur, and Paul Revere's lantern lighter, lighting the lamps in the church belfry arch, signaling the enemy is on the march, an enemy that would steal from us our liberty.

Senator Tim Kaine: "The notion that rights don't come from laws or the government, but come from the Creator—that's what the Iranian government believes. The statement that our rights do not come from laws or government is extremely troubling"[14] — says every tyrant! Does the Islamic view of "divine rights" equate to a Christian perspective?

You've got to be kidding me? This same character later warns us that Islam persecutes every other religion and anyone who objects to or resists the rule of Islam. There is NO notion of inalienable rights from GOD in Islam. The idea in that religion is that their god, Allah, commands them to impose his rule over the souls of all mankind. Islam does not recognize a divine right of individual liberty of the soul, or freedom of religion, or speech, or any sort of inalienable right to bear arms, none of it.

Islam teaches it is the responsibility of every Muslim to force all mankind to bow to Allah and to acknowledge the rule of Mohammed, his prophet.

---

[14] 1054.31.1-Senator Tim Kaine_ The statement that our rights do not come from laws or gover.pdf https://x.com/theblaze/status/1963597707945414973

© Jerry W. Scheidbach (All rights reserved.)

Christianity declares the command of God to all men everywhere to repent and to believe on Jesus Christ — but Christians are strictly forbidden to use the sword of civil judgment (Romans 13:1-6) to compel the faith or religious expression of any person on the basis that every person, Christian or non-Christian, has the inalienable right of consent to Christ, and soul liberty to choose to repent and believe or to rebel and refuse to believe. Christianity does not compel the soul of any man through coercion — that's an old Catholic sin that was corrected in the Reformation.

We don't even believe in any nonsense about the Divine Right of Kings. We know the TRUTH will make you FREE, and where the SPIRIT OF THE LORD is, there is LIBERTY — so we preach the TRUTH under the empowering of the HOLY SPIRIT OF CHRIST, but we do not pass laws that require anyone to believe, or attend church, or read their Bible, or PRAY! Those questions are left between the soul of man and his Creator.

You had best pray, even if your not a "praying man," you'd best PRAY, idiots like Democrat Tim Kaine never convince you that your rights are in his power, that your rights come to you from men, and from their laws — your rights come from the ONE TRUE GOD — and no man can separate you from your inalienable right to LIFE, LIBERTY, and the PURSUIT OF HAPPINESS — no man can take away or separate you from your right of free speech, freedom of your faith, or any of the rights articulated in the first ten amendments of our Constitution. We'll have to save conversation about the others for another time—some of those are manmade. That does not make them less your right, but there is a difference between those inalienable rights that descend from GOD and those that are contrived by law.

© Jerry W. Scheidbach (All rights reserved.)

Whose screaming at RFK? Senators with campaign bags bulging with Big Pharma bucks.[15]

Healthcare Campaign Contributions (1990-2024)	Total	Pharmaceuticals & Health Products	Pharmaceutical Manufacturing	Health Insurance & HMOs	Hospitals & Nursing Homes	Medical Devices & Supplies	Medical Societies	Nursing Associations
Sanders, Bernie (VT)	$23,193,451	$1,953,613	$601,566	$1,695,645	$2,715,002	$451,606	$12,749,883	$3,026,136
Warnock, Raphael (GA)	$14,726,875	$1,763,425	$524,028	$1,130,127	$1,375,555	$292,779	$8,936,855	$704,106
Warren, Elizabeth (MA)	$10,477,000	$1,224,145	$403,506	$862,912	$1,276,506	$210,362	$5,598,431	$901,138
Wyden, Ron (OR)	$6,780,419	$1,207,873	$368,407	$1,003,647	$1,335,890	$371,956	$2,283,386	$209,260
Murray, Patty (WA)	$5,887,453	$1,616,081	$796,103	$531,573	$568,129	$311,565	$1,923,244	$140,758
Baldwin, Tammy (WI)	$4,981,513	$575,914	$114,765	$558,260	$542,154	$147,099	$2,834,061	$209,260
Masto, Catherine Cortez (NV)	$3,754,229	$537,781	$201,162	$401,207	$337,365	$61,000	$2,114,653	$101,061
Bennet, Michael (CO)	$3,699,725	$730,106	$316,948	$428,174	$473,224	$134,426	$1,538,482	$78,365
Kaine, Tim (VA)	$3,320,984	$609,514	$254,416	$430,168	$371,208	$91,557	$1,476,247	$87,874
Murphy, Christopher S (CT)	$3,128,501	$357,867	$109,947	$293,529	$410,938	$123,666	$1,746,047	$86,507
Hassan, Maggie (NH)	$3,007,245	$467,999	$109,894	$448,794	$283,050	$148,185	$1,462,161	$87,162
Markey, Ed (MA)	$2,378,719	$661,442	$245,346	$300,524	$290,691	$117,131	$718,189	$45,396
Hickenlooper, John (CO)	$2,362,916	$317,713	$94,901	$233,672	$239,466	$55,466	$1,358,684	$63,014
Rochester, Lisa Blunt (DE)	$2,252,018	$604,539	$249,668	$227,455	$160,463	$99,918	$846,961	$63,014
Cantwell, Maria (WA)	$2,180,300	$338,134	$93,582	$217,580	$342,066	$67,314	$1,078,820	$42,804
Lujan, Ben Ray (NM)	$2,006,650	$375,652	$217,273	$196,954	$134,267	$23,079	$959,973	$99,452
Kim, Andy (NJ)	$1,660,605	$326,024	$102,584	$103,922	$105,276	$17,383	$972,371	$33,045
Smith, Tina (MN)	$1,484,089	$226,444	$21,534	$182,042	$142,860	$130,381	$723,613	$57,215
Whitehouse, Sheldon (RI)	$1,408,705	$351,361	$94,981	$92,719	$141,914	$98,752	$573,869	$55,109
Welch, Peter (VT)	$1,082,833	$176,917	$62,081	$75,662	$73,584	$5,607	$655,590	$33,392
Alsobrooks, Angela (MD)	$990,181	$96,643	$40,040	$108,185	$209,555	$8,080	$502,070	$25,608

I vetted these numbers and found them to be representative of my own research.[16]

Old man Sanders was on the attack: so, Mr. RFK, whose telling the truth about the efficacy of the vaccines: Bernie: "Secretary Kennedy, are President Trump and the Medical Community right? Or do you still believe the COVID vaccine was, quote: "The deadliest vaccine ever made."[17] Kennedy's response: "I said that in terms of the

---

[15] 1054.17.2-Senators SCREAMING at RFK today are all listed as have received money from Big Pharma. People being BRIBED by Big Pharma shouldn't be making ANY healthcare decisions for America. They're obviously compromised. ht.pdf https://x.com/MilaLovesJoe/status/1963644046490178030 I used ChatGPT to verify and while the search parameters do not align with those used by whoever made the chart, the numbers corresponded closely enough to satisfy me the chart is representative of the actual numbers. See https://chatgpt.com/s/t_68bb56264bc88191bce4a8a2dfb3ff14 and https://chatgpt.com/s/t_68bb56023b40819180d627e52c74ee47

[16] When searching OpenSecrets, it requires some finessing to define the search criteria, and the approach I took was probably not identical to that taken by whoever created the above chart. This accounts for the relatively small differences in results. Using my research, the numbers under Pharmaceuticals/Health Products match. But numbers for some of the other categories do not. My research shows Sanders with 12,778,477 under Health Professionals (medical societies and health professionals) the Mila Joy chart shows 12,749,883—which is very close. I assume, therefore, that the rest of the numbers would also align similarly.

[17] https://x.com/MJTruthUltra/status/1963647012567384245

© Jerry W. Scheidbach (All rights reserved.)

VAERS reports," and when rudely interrupted with an arrogant haughty spirit, repeating his question, Kennedy said at the time Trump was leading this nation, very ably, through this COVID crisis, Kennedy said "that particular vaccine, which perfectly matched the virus that was circulating then, and I have no idea how many lives it saved, but it saved quite a few."

The facts show that during the period following Trump's first term, several boosters were released. This was when the VAERS reports began to become worrisome, and fulfilled the expectations of some virologists, who were canceled by the Left, showing that not only were the vaccines causing injuries and deaths, but also that they were ineffective in stopping the spread. In fact, those who received the vaccine boosters were more likely to get sick than those who refused the jabs.

Every Senator who received significant donations connected with Big Pharma attacked RFK like rabid dogs. They must be forced to recuse themselves from voting on health policy that affects the interests of the companies that paid for their campaigns.

"Pocahontas" Warren launched on RFK: She attacked him, right off the starting line: With angry, aggressive tone and manner: "So, last November, while you were under consideration to become Secretary of Health and Human Services, Mr. Kennedy, you said, 'If vaccines are working for somebody, I'm not going to take them away.' No exceptions. No ifs, ands, or buts. You would not take away vaccines from anyone who wanted them.

"Then last week, you announced that the COVID-19 vaccine is no longer approved for healthy people under the age [of] 65. In announcing the change, you said the vaccine will be available for anyone who wants it. Now, obviously, both things can't be true at

© Jerry W. Scheidbach (All rights reserved.)

the same moment."[18] Really? In fact, both things can be true at the same moment.

You see, it's like a Demoncrat to assume government "recommendations" are "mandates." What else can explain this? RFK said anyone who wants one can have one. By the way, the HHS, or its FDA, has no power to enforce its recommendations. It is perfectly consistent with the position of these Departments to say we don't recommend the shot for healthy people under 65, and at the same time say, anyone who wants one can have one.

When someone argues irrationally like this, with anger and emotions out of control, you know it's about something else. RFK is closing in on their racket! And it's rattling their cage!

Practically screaming, Pocahontas said, "You are putting American babies' health at risk, American seniors' health at risk, all Americans' health at risk, and you should resign." [IBID]

Hey, your war paint is showing — cool the political rhetoric and admit you don't know half as much about this as RFK. You have not done the research he has, nor are you immersed in the subject. You would do well to shut up and listen for once in your life, you wind-bag! Hehe. All experienced Brain Massage® listeners know better than to accuse me of "name-calling." "Shut up" is a perfectly appropriate retort for her insulting rant, and "windbag" perfectly describes her display of rage. Nothing but a bag of wind!

The difference between you and RFK extends to one more thing. HE IS NOT IN THE POCKET OF PFIZER OR MODERNA, OR ANY OF THEM. YOU ARE! And Bernie gets all hot and self-righteous, and flailing, I mean literally, screaming at everyone in the room about being corrupt, and taking PAC money, and RFK says, "I don't know what you're talking about." And Bernie is in full

[18] https://chatgpt.com/s/t_68bb5eae52d48191bcb901af7dd702c1

© Jerry W. Scheidbach (All rights reserved.)

meltdown — it might be time for Bernie and Pocahontas to step down.

Here is a report put together by Sharyl Attkisson back in 2006.[19] She reported that, over a 20-year period from 1980 to 2001, flu shots for seniors were heavily promoted, and their use increased from 15% to 65% by 2001. The promotional angle was the expectation of reduced deaths among seniors, but the opposite occurred. Seniors' death rates increased.

So, enter Fauci: the NIH did a study attempting to find other factors that might have caused the increase in Senior deaths, but no matter how they "crunched the numbers," it came out the same — the shots did not reduce senior deaths.

NIH refused to allow its researchers to be interviewed, so Attkisson hunted down one of the researchers not employed by NIH: Dr. Tom Rikert. He testified that the researchers discovered they had "incendiary materials." He testified that they expected to discover the vaccinations had been helpful. He said the team was astonished to discover the vaccines did not help reduce senior deaths.

He said, not only did they not find vaccines helpful in America to reduce senior deaths after multiple efforts, but they also looked at other countries and found the same result: vaccines were not efficacious to reduce overall deaths. Now, for the absurd conclusion: Attkisson says, effectively, Hey, we see that the shot does not reduce deaths among Seniors, so what we will try next is to give the shot to children so they won't give the flu to seniors. I had no idea this sort of inane and evil thinking was going on back then, too. Oh, by the way, Attkisson reported for CBS.[20]

I have lit the lamps in the Church belfry arch, and now you let me know if you see them.

---

[19] https://x.com/wideawake_media/status/1963905163783012374

[20] https://x.com/wideawake_media/status/1963905163783012374

© Jerry W. Scheidbach (All rights reserved.)

You can email me by going to our website, branmassage.net. That's brainmassage.net. Find the CONTACT button and click send.

You can leave a message on our Listener Response Hotline: 805.314.2114.

God bless you. God bless America! I'll see you in Church.

© Jerry W. Scheidbach (All rights reserved.)