

Dr. Jerry Scheidbach

Brain Massage®: Show No. 1116

GOD IS CONDUCTING A STRESS TEST ON THE CONSTITUTION / BEWARE THE TYRANNY OF THE MINORITY

Original Air Date: 11.07.26



Segment.01 Hello. I'm Dr. Jerry Scheidbach, pastor of the Lighthouse Baptist Church in Santa Maria, CA, and your brain masseur. Get ready for your brain massage.

The Left couldn't care less about minority rights! Want proof? Hang on, it's coming in todays BrainMassage.®

[TRUTH]

Protection of minority rights is a uniquely "Christian" principle. We all know that Jesus condemned the use of authority and power to oppress others: and what is oppression if it is not the use of power to deprive another of their inalienable God given rights as equal bearers of the Imago Dei (ih-MAH-go DAY-ee).

The prohibition of the tyranny of the majority is seen in Exodus 23:1 — "Thou shalt not follow a multitude to do evil; neither shalt thou speak in a cause to decline after many to wrest judgment."

The Bible commands the protection of the rights of the weak, and the rights of the strong: ""Ye shall not respect persons in judgment; but ye shall hear the small as well as the great; ye shall

Copyright ©Jerry W. Scheidbach — all rights reserved.

not be afraid of the face of man; for the judgment is God's" (Deuteronomy 1:17). "Ye shall do no unrighteousness in judgment: thou shalt not respect the person of the poor, nor honour the person of the mighty: but in righteousness shalt thou judge thy neighbour" (Leviticus 19:15).

There are limitations on "minority " or "majority" rights: no one has the right to commit murder, or to defraud anyone, or violate the inalienable rights of others. Anyone who uses a minority, or a majority right to murder or commit fraud, for example, has no ground to support their actions. You can abuse the biblical principle of protecting minority to the extent of saying such rights justify acts contrary to the God's revealed word, and/or acts contrary to generally accepted standard of morality.

All men created equal is the foundational principle of our Republic. This does not refer to an equality of physical attributes whether attractive or plain, nor to one's achievements, or popularity, attractiveness, or results of efforts. Power, authority, property, personal prowess, and success are variable, and often these are enjoyed by those worthy, and also often by those who are not. But human rights are shared in common by all, and held individually, not in groups, or factions.

The Constitution[1] (read a copy of it here) was intentionally designed to protect the rights of the "minority" — not only from Tyrants, but also from the Tyranny of the Majority. Our Framers explicitly warned against the tyranny of the majority.

Madison warned of factions forming around shared interests, that sometimes take on a mob mentality, and begin bullying others. It is especially dangerous when such a faction accumulates

[1] 1116.0.9-The Constitution of the United States_ A Transcription _ National Archives https://www.archives.gov/founding-docs/constitution-transcript?utm_source=chatgpt.com

© Jerry W. Scheidbach (All rights reserved.)

sufficient political and institutional power to tyrannize others.[2] Special concern was expressed about the tyranny of the majority in which the rights of those in the minority might be abused. This can be done by a minority as well through the achievement of political power in a manner similar to a tyrannical ruler, or cabal (which refers to a secrete political clique or faction).

Alexander Hamilton, in Federalist No. 78, written and published in 1788, explained that courts must side with the Constitution when the "majority" of Congress makes laws in conflict with it. He warned about public passions being stirred by charismatic leaders who stir them into support for laws that are contrary to our inalienable rights, violating the Constitution. At the same time, the Supreme Court Justices must be checked, and so impeachments may remove them;[3] but impeachments must be checked by rigorous limits to the causes: treason, perjury, fraud, bribery, gross abuse of judicial power, and conduct that undermines the integrity of the judiciary. [IBID] And also by the process: Articles of impeachment must be presented in the House, and passed by a simple majority; then the Senate conducts a trial—only 15 federal judges have been impeached, only 8 convicted and removed. Non Supreme Court Justice has been removed, and only one has been impeached but was afterward acquitted.[4] [5]

To protect citizens from tyranny, our founders built into our Constitution certain safeguards. In articles 1-3 of the Constitution,

---

[2] 1116.0.5-Federalist Nos. 1-10 -Madison on danger of tyranny of Majority.pdf https://guides.loc.gov/federalist-papers/text-1-10?utm_source=chatgpt.com

[3] 1116.0.14-Can Supreme Court Justices Be Impeached_ Grounds and Process - FedLaws https://fedlaws.org/can-supreme-court-justices-be-impeached-grounds-and-process/

[4] 1116.0.15-Impeachment and the Constitution _ Congress.gov _ Library of Congress.pdf https-//www.congress.gov/crs-product/R46013

[5] 1116.0.16-Impeachment Explainer _ Pros, Cons, Debate, Arguments, Federal Government, President, Supreme Court, & Congress _ Britannica https://www.britannica.com/procon/impeachment-explainer

© Jerry W. Scheidbach (All rights reserved.)

the Founders separated the powers of government into three separate branches. Article 1, the Legislature, article 2, the Executive , and article 3 the Judiciary. This follows the distribution of the powers of GOD: Isaiah 33:22 "For the LORD is our judge, the LORD is our lawgiver, the LORD is our king; he will save us." America is organized around the principle that we have "no king," but Christ, and all who judge and rule do so under God.

That's what Romans 13:1-6 means when it says, "There is no power but of God: the powers that be are ordained of God."[6]

These are called the three "branches of government." They are regarded as separate and EQUAL. They are designed to provide checks against power and to balance out the will of the people under the rule of law.

The Legislature, controls the purse and is the only body that can create laws that govern the people. The founders provided checks on this enormous power, first, by dividing it into two chambers—the House of Representatives and the Senate. A Bill cannot pass into law unless both bodies approve. This make deliberation over the enactment of laws difficult, and provides a check by one body against the other. Furthermore, the President can check the Congress with a veto, which nullifies the law — a Bill does not become Law unless it is signed by the Executive. Then, there is a "check" provided on the power of the Executive: the Congress can override a veto by a two-thirds vote of the House and the Senate. This is a difficult threshold to achieve and only 4.3% of

[6] Romans 13:1 ¶ Let every soul be subject unto the higher powers. For there is no power but of God: the powers that be are ordained of God. By Christ all principalities and powers were created: Colossians 1:16 For by him were all things created, that are in heaven, and that are in earth, visible and invisible, whether they be thrones, or dominions, or principalities, or powers: all things were created by him, and for him:

© Jerry W. Scheidbach (All rights reserved.)

all 2,575 vetoes in our 250 years history has been overridden by Congress.[7]

Also, the founders provided that every State, no matter how large, or how small, would have two Senators: no fewer than 2, no more than 2. In the Senate, every single state has equal representation. The Constitution was constructed around the principle of protections for the rights of the smaller states: they labored to protect us from the Tyranny of the Majority.

Furthermore, the federal authority is limited to what powers are defined expressly within the Constitution and any matter not provided for in the Constitution is to be left entirely to the individual states.[8] And yet, this too is counterbalanced by article 6, in the second clause—called the Supremacy Clause.[9]

The Judiciary also provides a check and balance over against both the Legislature and the Executive in its function as a referee to evaluate any law for its Constitutionality: does it violate any provision of the Constitution. If so, the law is struck down, and usually sent back to Congress with an explanation of its decision.

Another example of the Founder's use of the Constitution to protect minority rights is seen in the formation of the Electoral College. It's in Article 2, Section 1 and it says, "Each State shall appoint, in such Manner as the Legislature thereof may direct, a Number of Electors, equal to the whole Number of Senators and Representatives to which the State may be entitled in the Congress:

---

[7] 1116.0.7-Regular Vetoes and Pocket Vetoes_ In Brief _ Congress.gov _ Library of Congress.pdf https://www.congress.gov/crs-product/RS22188

[8] Most notably, the 10th Amendment, but also Article 1, Section 8, the Eleventh Amendment, that Anti-Commandeering Doctrine, which, actually, is derived from the Tenth Amendment, forbidding the Federal government from requiring, or forcing, states to enforce Federal laws; and then Section 5 of the Fourteenth Amendment.

[9] 1116.0.8-Federalism and the Constitution _ Constitution Annotated _ Congress.gov _ Library of Congress.pdf https-//constitution.congress.gov/browse/essay/intro.7-3/ALDE_00000032/

© Jerry W. Scheidbach (All rights reserved.)

but no Senator or Representative, or Person holding an Office of Trust or Profit under the United States, shall be appointed an Elector."[10] The reason, the rational for this rule is simple: in this way each State has a fair amount of representation in deciding who becomes President—without any argument, the most powerful position in our government. Without the Electoral College, the large, densely populated States would make all other state irrelevant, making their votes meaningless in any election for the office of President.

Finally, the Constitution cannot be amended, or changed unless it is passed by the Legislature, signed by the Executive, and ratified by 3/4 of the states: presently, that would require 38 of the 50 states to approve an amendment, or a change, in the provisions of the Constitution.

And then Finally to the Finally: Article 6, Clause 3, requires every person holding any office in all branches of government, and all persons enlisted into the armed forces, all federal employees, and naturalized citizens must swear an "Oath or Affirmation, to support this Constitution."[11]

And then, finally, to the final finally — sorry, I just keep thinking of another important thing to add or explain: the reason, or rational for not requiring such an oath from the natural born citizen is that the law already requires allegiance. U.S. Law provides for charging, judging, convicting, and sentencing citizens who commit treason against the U.S.[12] In fact, this goes with the citizen wherever he may be in the world. Resident aliens can be charged with Treason on the ground that while they are in America, they

---

[10] 1116.0.10-Electing the President - FindLaw https://constitution.findlaw.com/article2/annotation02.html

[11] 1116.0.11-Oath of Office _ In Custodia Legis https://blogs.loc.gov/law/2015/01/oath-of-office/

[12] 1116.0.12-What Is Treason_ Constitutional Definition and Penalties - LegalClarity https://legalclarity.org/what-is-treason-under-the-u-s-constitution/

© Jerry W. Scheidbach (All rights reserved.)

owe temporary allegiance to the country. The reason every natural born citizen does not have to swear an Oath of Affirmation is that their allegiance is automatic; to get out from under it, they would have to renounce their allegiance and with it their citizenship.[13]

I believe those who burn the flag, stomp on it, spit on it, or otherwise desecrate it have violated their natural or naturalized oath, and have voided their welcome in this country. I don't salute a man when I salute the flag, I don't salute a political policy—I salute the United States of America as founded—the flag is inextricably connected with the Constitution, it is the symbol of it, it is America wherever it is flown, and it is connected to the Constitution it represents. This was powerfully impressed on me when a young man in high school carried his Bible to school and preached and passed out gospel tracts to students—his name was Bill Munson—he's in heaven now—and the school administrators gave him a lot of flack about this until finally, the prohibited him from passing out tracts and preaching to groups of students.

He did some research on this and learned that a circle of so many feet around an American Flag, was legally federal property and protected by the Constitution. He stood under the flag and preached, and the school could do nothing about it.

I remember having great admiration for this guy, but we ran in very different circles and never crossed paths. He didn't know it, but I had become a Christian and was called into ministry and unknown to either of us, I ended up enrolling in the same school he did. I was walking up to the administration building, and there he was. I didn't recognize him at first, but he recognized me, and he smiled and said, "well, if it isn't Jerry from Artesia High. Remember me?" I starred at him for a while and finally remembered, I said, you're the preacher. We became very close friends; I miss him.

---

[13] 1116.0.13-Chapter 1 - Purpose and Background _ USCIS https://www.uscis.gov/policy-manual/volume-12-part-j-chapter-1

© Jerry W. Scheidbach (All rights reserved.)

But before we became friends, he had a great impact on me — from the day I understood the significance of the American Flag, I just couldn't respect these idiots who use it as a way of expressing their opposition to political policy because the Flag does not represent any particular President, or government policy — it represents our Constitutional Rights and it's treason desecrate those rights.

And, by the way, the Bill of Rights is part of the Constitution, it's not an epilogue, or a footnote: they are LAWS forbidding the government from infringing upon our inalienable rights and they are part of what we are sworn to protect against enemies foreign and domestic.

Hold on through the break; I'll be right back!

Segment.02 Hello. Welcome back! I'm Dr. Jerry Scheidbach, pastor at the Lighthouse, your brain masseur, and Paul Revere's lantern lighter, lighting the lamps in the church belfry arch, signaling the enemy is on the march—an enemy that would steal from us our liberty.

We've got a pack of wolves coming into the Legislature right now and you need to understand the Constitution, our Congress is becoming increasingly made up of men and women who don't understand it, and many among them who HATE IT with a passion. They will LYING through wicked teeth when they are "sworn in." We all know AOC has not got a clue, and we all know the Democrats have embraced in their "Tent" snakes who want to dismantle the Constitution: eliminate the Executive, the Senate, and the Judiciary — and eliminate the checks and balances that protect us from minority or majority bullying.

I went a bit long on the first segment and I hope it didn't bore you. I am deeply concerned that Americans do not know what they voted for in this last election: the abandonment of truth which is the forfeiture of our liberties. Thank God for the Constitution; if

© Jerry W. Scheidbach (All rights reserved.)

the oaths of our representatives is kept, the Constitution will endure — but please LISTEN!

Our founders repeatedly warned that the government they laid out on paper will only hold if the rulers we put in stewardship over it are honest, and godly men. Islam has zero respect for human rights; Communism has zero regard for consent of the governed or for human rights—we are still evaluating, critiquing, and studying what happened; and several concerning anomalies have emerged, I'll talk to that some more Saturday night, for BrainMassage® LIVE and Sunday night in my pulpit.

But this election should not have even been close: the choice was America or Cuba—Florida or California.

But right now, I need to wrap up my comments for this show.

There is a tyranny of the majority, and there is a tyranny of the minority. That's what God was talking about when He said, "Ye shall not respect persons in judgment; but ye shall hear the small as well as the great; ye shall not be afraid of the face of man; for the judgment is God's" (Deuteronomy 1:17). And, "Ye shall do no unrighteousness in judgment: thou shalt not respect the person of the poor, nor honour the person of the mighty: but in righteousness shalt thou judge thy neighbour" (Leviticus 19:15).

Equal protection belongs to every individual, not to any particular group favored by any sort of contrived "majority."[14]

Historical grievances held by people whose ancestors suffered injustice do not justify committing injustice against anyone not alive today to face charges. People who suffered slavery, and certainly those who have never been slaves can't punish people who never owned them, or a nation that fought a Civil War to free them. It's outrageous injustice and evil what these people have done to

[14] 1116.0.17-Adarand Constructors v. Pena, 515 U.S. 200 (1995)_.pdf https://www.law.cornell.edu/supremecourt/text/93-1841?utm_source=chatgpt.com

© Jerry W. Scheidbach (All rights reserved.)

destroy the minds and hearts of so many of our young people, of every ethnicity, with this wicked appeal to greed and grudge.

The courts have supported the Constitution in the case that challenged racially biased enrollment policies.[15] Three Supreme Court Justices dissented the ruling and argued to justify the very type of behavior they condemn.

Dems think Democrats are about protecting the interests of "minorities"? The Democrats today, do not support the protection of minority rights.

They define minorities entirely based on melanin, unnatural behaviors (LGBTQ, Trans, etc.), but when it comes to political marginalization, they are cruel and vicious against ideological minorities whose beliefs don't align with theirs: Christianity, for example.

And they have shown us that they hate those who disagree with them, they want to use government power to silence us by force, and if that doesn't work, they want to kill us — remember Charlie! Remember Butler. Remember the summer of love.

Give them political power, and they use it to advance an agenda that has proved to turn once beautiful cities like SFO and New York, Chicago, Detroit, LA, and many others into hell holes, and to force us to surrender our freedoms to their tyranny: remember the COVID nightmare—

They despise the biblical and Constitutional concept of protecting the minority by making sure small sparsely populated states get fair representation in their government: they want to abolish the Electoral College: Hillary lately called it "an anachronism that was designed for another time…" she said, "So I

[15] 1116.0.19-20-1199 Students for Fair Admissions, Inc. v. President and Fellows of Harvard College (06_29_2023).pdf https://www.supremecourt.gov/opinions/22pdf/20-1199_hgdj.pdf?os=vbkn4ztqhoorjmxr5bhhcs0xiz&utm_source=chatgpt.com

© Jerry W. Scheidbach (All rights reserved.)

think it needs to be eliminated. I'd like to see us move beyond it, yes."[16]

Obama called the Constitution "a charter of negative liberties." Obama wanted a Constitution that is not focused on restricting what the government could do to them, but rather written to express what government must provide for them. He complained that the Supreme Court had not "broken free" of the shackles placed on them by the Founders, particularly regarding the "redistribution of wealth and economic justice."[17] He said "our government must keep pace with the times."[18] What would a Constitution look like, as envisioned by Obama? His idea of the Constitution being a charter of "negative liberties" is absurd, and it betrays the shallowness of that man. Hey, telling the government it cannot take away my liberty of free speech is intended to protect that POSITIVE LIBERTY from government negation by using its vast power to steal from me my liberties.

The definition of the responsibilities of the Presidency is to enforce the Law. Obama unilaterally rejected the expressed will of the people when he ordered his administration not to enforce or defend DOMA (the Defense of Marriage Act)—a Constitutionally enacted law of the LAND. Newsom, when governor of San Fransisco, openly defied the will of the people of this state that exercised their rights under the CA Constitution to refuse to sanction homosexual marriages by going to the court house and defying the law. He did it again, lately changing the will of the

---

[16] 1116.0.21-CNN.com - Transcripts.pdf https://transcripts.cnn.com/show/acd/date/2017-09-13/segment/02?utm_source=chatgpt.com

[17] 1116.0.20-Closing Arguments_ McCain - FactCheck.org.pdf https://www.factcheck.org/2008/11/closing-arguments-mccain/?utm_source=chatgpt.com

[18] 1116.0.22-Press Release_ Remarks of President Barack Obama at the University of Michigan Commencement - As Prepared for Delivery _ The American Presidency Project https://www.presidency.ucsb.edu/documents/press-release-remarks-president-barack-obama-the-university-michigan-commencement-prepared?utm_source=chatgpt.com

© Jerry W. Scheidbach (All rights reserved.)

people regarding capital punishment for heinous capital crimes, but Newsom commuted the death sentence of all 548 prisoners on California's death row to life without parole. He not only defied the authority of the California voters, he defied God directly, writing in an open letter: "These offenders will die in prison, but not by our hand."[19] God said, "Whoso sheddeth man's blood, by man shall his blood be shed: for in the image of God made he man" (Genesis 9:6), and God declares the curse on him: "Cursed be he that doeth the work of the LORD deceitfully, and cursed be he that keepeth back his sword from blood" (Jeremiah 48:10).

What spirit has been released upon our land? Lying—the Democrats, long ago, divorced themselves from any idea of truth as an objective reality in favor of an amorphous lump of play-dough they can shape into anything they want. Stealing (elections; taxpayer dollars through defrauding the government, burdening the workers to reward the shirkers), and as I mentioned, murder — listen to their violent rhetoric — so, if I'm trying to discern what "spirit" they are of; it's really not hard: they are of their father the Devil, who is a liar and the father of it (John 8:44; see 1 John 7); with whom they share the same agenda: to steal, kill, and destroy (John 10:10). They are of the same spirit that motivated Herod to behead John the Baptist (Matthew 11:12). That's what Jesus said about these sorts of people, and it proves to be true.

But, alas, "fear not little flock, for it is the Father's good pleasure to give you the kingdom" (Luke 12:32), and "greater is he that is in you [the Spirit of Jesus Christ] than he that is in the world" (1 John 4:4).

I have lit the lamps in the Church belfry arch. Now let me know if you see them.

---

[19] 1116.0.23-California governor to grant clemency to all 548 death row inmates in state _ Reuters https://www.reuters.com/world/us/california-governor-grant-clemency-all-548-death-row-inmates-state-2026-11-05/?utm_source=chatgpt.com

© Jerry W. Scheidbach (All rights reserved.)

You can email me from our website, branmassage.net. That's brainmassage.net. Find the CONTACT button and click send.

God bless you. God bless America! I'll see you in Church.

© Jerry W. Scheidbach (All rights reserved.)